

# Low Emission Zones in Europe for ADEME



**Final report**

**Sadler Consultants  
July 2011**

**Sadler Consultants**  
Am alten Marstall 2  
79312 Emmendingen, Germany

[www.airqualitypolicy.co.uk](http://www.airqualitypolicy.co.uk)  
email: [Lucy.Sadler@airqualitypolicy.co.uk](mailto:Lucy.Sadler@airqualitypolicy.co.uk)  
Tel : (+49) (0)7641 - 9375 – 335

1. Introduction	6
1.1. Types of LEZ	7
1.2. Overview of the existing LEZs	7
1.2.1. Austria	7
1.2.2. Denmark	7
1.2.3. Germany	8
1.2.4. France	8
1.2.5. Italy	8
1.2.6. The Netherlands	9
1.2.7. Sweden	9
1.2.8. The UK	9
2. European Commission legal aspects	10
2.1. Other EU issues	11
3. Best practice	11
3.1. Spreading information widely	12
3.2. Emissions standards	12
3.2.1. Phasing	13
3.2.2. Retrofitting	13
3.2.3. DPF retrofits and NO2	14
3.2.4. Partial and full diesel particulate filters (DPFs)	14
3.3. The simpler to convey the better	14
3.4. National frameworks	14
3.5. Good enforcement	15
3.6. Exemptions	15
3.7. Labelling / identification options	16
3.7.1. German sticker arrangements	16
3.8. Sufficient notice before scheme starts	17
3.9. Complimentary measures	17
3.10. Minimise negative inequality impacts	18
3.11. Appropriate assessment	18
3.12. Increasing acceptance	19
3.13. Good practice recommendations from Gothenburg	20
3.14. Italian LEZs	20
4. Implementation issues	21
4.1. LEZ location issues	21
4.1.1 Types of LEZ locations	22
4.1.1.1. Outside the LEZ area	24
4.2. Vehicles types affected	24
4.3. Vehicle Euro standard identification for national databases	24
4.4. Retrofitting	25
4.4.2 PM retrofitting	25

4.4.3 NOx retrofitting	26
4.5. LEZ enforcement choice	27
4.5.1. Different LEZ enforcement choice used around Europe	28
4.5.2. Compliance rates	28
4.5.3. Foreign vehicles	30
4.6. Political aspects	31
4.6.1. Political experiences from around Europe	31
5. LEZ frameworks options	32
6. Air Quality Impact	33
6.1. Air Quality Results	34
6.2. Discussion of the air quality data presented	37
6.3. Emissions testing	38
6.4. Vehicle fleet impact	39
6.5. Meeting the EU Limit Values	42
6.6. Air quality assessment methodologies	42
6.6.1. Comparing air quality monitoring data inside and outside the LEZ	43
6.6.2. Ratios of changes	44
6.6.3. Using source apportionment together with monitoring data	44
6.6.4. Statistical procedures	45
6.7. Overall air quality discussion and conclusions	46
7. Costs	47
7.1. Costs to the authority to implement and operate	47
7.2. Costs to vehicle operators	47
7.3. Costs and benefits to society	48
7.4. Costs of complementary measures	49
8. LEZ complementary measures	49
8.1. Specific measures to support LEZs	49
8.1.1. Denmark	49
8.1.2. Germany	50
8.1.3. Italy	50
8.1.4. The Netherlands	50
8.1.5. Norway	51
8.2. Air quality strategy actions	51
8.2.1. The Netherlands	51
8.3. Complementary measures conclusion	52
9. Legal Challenges	53
9.1. Legal challenges against the LEZs	53
9.1.1. Sweden	53
9.1.2. Germany	53
9.2. Legal challenges for the LEZs	54
9.3. Avoiding legal challenges	54

10. Concluding recommendations for Frances LEZs	54
11. Disclaimer	56
With thanks to	56
12. Annexes	57
13. Annex 1. Overview of LEZs across Europe	57
14. Annex 2. Further details on EU legal issues	66
14.1.1. Freedom of movement	66
14.1.2. Proportionality	67
14.1.3. Non-discriminatory	67
14.1.4. Notification	67
15. Annex 3 London DPF certification scheme	68
16. Annex 4. Summaries of the German and Italian DPF certification schemes	68
17. Annex 4a A separate dpf file of the particulate trap certification (in German)	70
18. Annex 5. Further details on exemptions	82
18.1. Specific vehicles	82
18.2. Hardship exemptions	84
18.3. Specific journeys	84
18.4. Interim and temporary exemptions	84
18.5. Number of exemptions	84
19. Annex 6 German sticker regulations	86
Explanatory statement	104
20. Annex 7. Air quality impacts details	109
20.1. Germany	109
20.1.1. Berlin LEZ 2011 assessment	109
20.1.2. Berlin LEZ 2009 assessment	112
20.1.3. Cologne	113
20.1.4. Baden-Württemberg	114
20.1.5. Hannover	114
20.1.6. Munich	115
20.1.7. Bremen	116
20.2. The Netherlands	117
20.2.1. Dutch 2010 monitoring report	117
20.2.2. Dutch 2009 monitoring report	118
20.2.3. Dutch 2008 monitoring report	120
20.3. Sweden	121
20.3.1. Stockholm	121
20.3.2. Gothenburg	122
20.4. Denmark	123
20.5. London pre mid 2010	125
20.6. Italy	126
20.6.1. Milan Ecopass	126

20.7. Different LEZ scenarios	127
20.7.1. Dutch van and car LEZs	127
20.7.2. Danish DPF-LEZ scenarios	128
20.8. Vehicle NO2 emission aspects	129
20.8.1. Primary NO2 issues from Hannover's legal challenge	129
20.8.2. Dutch study on primary NO2 from DPFs	130
20.8.3. NO2 urban emissions from Euro V lorries	131
21. Annex 8. Further details of cost assessments	133
21.1. Costs to the authorities for operating LEZs	133
21.1.1. Netherlands	133
21.1.2. Denmark	134
21.2. Who paid for the LEZs?	134
21.3. Who paid for the complementary measures?	135
21.4. Compliance costs for operators affected by the LEZs	135
21.4.1. Denmark	135
21.4.2. Netherlands	135
21.4.3. Germany	137
21.4.4. Turin	138
21.4.5. Sweden	138
21.5. More general economic impact	138
21.5.1. Netherlands	138
21.5.2. Germany	139
21.5.3. Gothenburg	139

The Low Emission Zones in Europe for ADEME report give a wealth of useful information for cities and countries considering, planning or operating low emission zones. Please contact [Lucy Sadler](#) for copies of the report.

If you are a public authority working on urban vehicle access regulations join the CLARS platform at <http://urbanaccessregulations.eu/public-authorities> and you will find the report on the Members website.